

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

3 MONTHS 03/19/2007 PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/529,255	SHINOKI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jennifer Steele	1771		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	<u>December 2006</u> .	•		
20/23 11110 21011011 11	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 9-20 is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-11 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	las alastian requirement	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
Application Papers	·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir		_		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the t	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action of form F10-132.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>		-(d) or (f).		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri				
application from the International Bure				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		d.		
Attachment(s)	· —			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)				

Application/Control Number: 09/529,255

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to 37 CFR 1.132 Declaration

The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 12/12/2006 is insufficient to 1. overcome the rejection of claim 9-11 and 17-20 based upon 35 USC § 103(a) as set forth in the last Office action because: Applicant has presented evidence of the physical properties of the nonwoven fabric produced by a process of the current application compared to physical properties of the nonwoven fabric produced of (1) conventional polyester for clothing industry, (2) reinforcing fiber for rubber such as tire cord and (3) the closest prior art of Shinjou (4,795,559). The prior art reference used in the 35 USC § 103(a) rejection of 7/12/2006 is to Goettmann (US 5,851,355). As stated in this office action Goettmann teaches use of 40.7% by weight of Type 108 polyester staple fibers supplied by Hoeschst/Celanese. The Declaration does not present evidence of Type 108 polyester staple fibers. The Declaration does not present evidence that any of the comparative examples (1), (2) or (3) are Type 108 polyester. While the declaration supports the current application product claims with respect to Shinjou, the declaration does not provide evidence with respect to Goettmann.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/529,255

Art Unit: 1771

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 9-11 and 17-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goettmann (US 5,851,355). As amended, the previous rejection stated in Office Action of 7/12/2006 is maintained. Removing the negative limitation of "except for conjugate fiber" does not change the product as claimed and does not overcome the previous rejection.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/12/206 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments that it would not of been obvious at the time the invention was made to have varied the properties of "heat shrinkage stress" and "breaking length at an elongation of 5%" are not persuasive because the Rule 132 Affidavit submitted did not present applicable evidence as detailed in first paragraph of this Office Action. When the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention the examiner has basis for shifting the burden of proof to applicant as in In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § \$ 2112-2112.02

Application/Control Number: 09/529,255

Art Unit: 1771

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Steele whose telephone number is (571) 272-7115. The examiner can normally be reached on Office Hours Mon-Fri 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/529,255

**Art Unit: 1771** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ELIZABETH M. COLE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

3/12/2006

# Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. O9/529,255 Examiner Jennifer Steele Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination SHINOKI ET AL. Page 1 of 1

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-5,851,355 A	12-1998	Goettmann, James A.	162/157.3
	В	US-			
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	E	US-			<u> </u>
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-	ļ		
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

### NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

	TOTAL			
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)		
	υ			
	V			
	w			
	x			

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

IC 1 Office Room REWSEN

United States Patent and Trademark Office Organization\_

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314



OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300